

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/383,724	08/26/1999	KEUNSUK P. CHANG	361752000100	6966
75	590 02/14/2002			
BARRY E BRETSCHNEIDER			EXAMINER	
MORRISON & FOESTER LLP 2000 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW			TARAZANO, DONALD LAWRENCE	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 200061888		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	10
			DATE MAILED: 02/14/2002	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A S-13			
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/383,724	CHANG ET AL.			
Advicery Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	D. Lawrence Tarazano	1773			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspond nce address			
THE REPLY FILED 22 January 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	•			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>The double patenting rejection</u> .					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: none.					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
B. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
0.⊠ Other: <u>PTO-892</u>					
.S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/383,724

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The applicants proposed amending the claims so that they are limited to a two-layer structure. While the new limitation would overcome the previous art rejection. The new limitation would raise new issues that would require further search and / or consideration.

The examiner cites Mizuno et al. (5,441,802) as an example of the two-layer polypropylene films have a mixed resin layer, comprising silicone oil, and zeolites (alumnosilicates) as being a reference, which would be of interest to the applicants.

Paul Thibodeau
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1700